



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_6348
Title of the Manuscript:	PRODUCTS AND ECCENTRIC DIGRAPHS
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors consider in paper the eccentric digraphs of different products of graphs, cartesian, viz., lexicographic, prism, normal, etc. There are very interesting issues. I have no reservations to the scientific part. English needs some smoothing. In my opinion the paper may be improved by extension of the list of references and discussion of the novelty of the presented results comparing to the existing state of art. There are many books and papers published before 2006. Authors of this paper are almost the one who are mentioned at the list of references between 2007-2013.	No other group is working on this concept, perhaps.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Some minor editorial errors and problems with the typesetting should be corrected 1) Introduction section, p1, line 1, there is double spacing before word which, 2) Introduction section, p1, line 6: it should be [3], [15], [22] 3) Introduction section, p1, line 13: it should be etc. before word find 4) Introduction section, p1, line 15: single spacing should be between digraphs and [13] 5) p1, line 6: it should be 6) p2, line 3: et al. should be 3 times 7) p2, line 15: there are 6 full stops, 8) p2, line 27: et al. 9) p2, line 29: It should be u. e. n. in the brackets 10) p2, line 30, <i>G</i> (in italics)	1) It is a single space - no change. 2) Corrected. 3) Corrected. 4) Corrected. 5) Corrected. 6) Corrected. 7) Corrected. 8) Corrected. 9) Corrected. 10) Corrected.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	11) p3, lines 1, 6, 11, 16: et al. 12) p3, line 11: there is single spacing after [11] 13) p3, line 18: There is colon in the title 14) p4, Remark 4: u. e. n. it should be 15) p5, line 2 from the bottom of the page, It should be: Obtained the eccentric digraph of prism of $C_p G$ is isomorphic.... 16) p5 too small figure 1 17) p6 too small figure 2 18) p6, Corollary 2.1, as in Remark 4 it should be period=2 and tail=3 19) p6, Theorem 2.3, grammar of the theorem to correct 20) p6 too small figure 3 21) p7, Corollary 2.3, as in Remark 4 it should be period=2 and tail=2 22) p7, line 3 from the bottom of the page: there is colon in the title 23) p8, lines: 1,2,3,6,8,9,12,14,23,32,33 problem with the sign of the Cartesian product 24) p8, lines: 21,27,28,33, the same should be 25) p8, line 26, single spacing after case(iii) should be 26) p9, lines: 1,4,8,10,13,18,22,23,26,27,29 problem with the sign of the Cartesian product 27) p9, line: 7: the same should be 28) p9, lines 10, 12, 15 ,25: u. e. n. it should be 29) p9, line 20: exists should be 30) p10, line 1: There is colon in the title 31) p10, line 5 ~~hold good~~ it should be just holds 32) p10, line 12 center the equation 33) p11, too small figure 5 34) p12 too small figure 6 35) p12 Note here is underline, Note on page 4 no. 36) p13 there is no conclusion section	11) Corrected. 12) Corrected. 13) Corrected. 14) Corrected. 15) Changed. 16) Enlarged. 17) Enlarged. 18) Changed. 19) No change required. 20) Enlarged. 21) Changed. 22) Removed. 23) Changed. 24) Changed. 25) Changed. 26) Changed. 27) Changed. 28) Changed. 29) Changed. 30) Removed. 31) Changed. 32) Changed. 33) Enlarged. 34) Enlarged. 35) Changed. 36) Included.
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	37) the style of the list of references is mixed, for example [19], [20], [23].....	37) Changed.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-----	